1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) United States Attorney  THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493) Chief, Criminal Division  MICHAEL G. PITMAN (DCBN 484164) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5040 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 Email: michael.pitman@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for United States of America		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 5:21-CR-00400-BLF	
13	Plaintiff,	Date Filed: 10/15/2021	
14	v. )	NOTICE OF RELATED CASE IN A CRIMINAL ACTION	
15	ROBERT GARY HANSEN,	Netion	
16	Defendant.		
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	Case No. 5:21-CR-00454-EJD	
18	Plaintiff,	Date Filed: 11/16/2021	
19	v. )		
20	DON M. BAKER,		
21	Defendant.		
22	<u> </u>		
23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	Case No. 5:22-CR-00123-BLF	
24	Plaintiff, )	Date Filed: 3/18/2022	
25	v. )		
26	DHIRENDRA PRASAD,		
27	Defendant.		
28	,		
	1		

The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court that the three above-captioned criminal cases are related.

The Information in *United States v. Robert Gary Hansen*, Case No. 5:21-CR-00400-BLF, was filed on October 15, 2021, and the case was assigned to the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman. The Information in *United States v. Don M. Baker*, Case No. 5:21-cr-00454-EJD, was filed on November 16, 2021, and the case was originally assigned to the Honorable Lucy H. Koh, and subsequently reassigned to the Honorable Edward J. Davila. The government filed a Notice of Related Case with respect to these two cases on November 18, 2021, and the Court determined that the cases were not related in an Order dated November 23, 2021.

The Information in *United States v. Dhirendra Prasad*, Case No. 5:22-CR-00123-BLF, was filed on March 18, 2022, and the case was assigned to Judge Freeman. This case is related to both *United States v. Robert Gary Hansen*, Case No. 5:21-CR-00400-BLF and *United States v. Don M. Baker*, Case No. 5:21-cr-00454-EJD. Mr. Prasad was employed by Apple, Inc., from December of 2008 through December of 2018. For most of that period, Mr. Prasad was a "buyer" in Apple's Global Service Supply Chain. As an Apple buyer, Mr. Prasad received internal orders from Apple, requested quotes for the required parts and services from vendors, reviewed the quotes, negotiated terms, selected vendors, and placed Purchase Orders using Apple's purchasing system. Apple then paid vendors based on the invoice amounts Mr. Prasad entered into the system. The government expects that the evidence at trial will establish that Mr. Prasad used his position of trust at Apple to engage in multiple different schemes to defraud Apple, including taking kickbacks, stealing parts using false repair orders, and causing Apple to pay for items and services it never received resulting in a loss of more than \$10,000,000, and also that Mr. Prasad evaded tax on the proceeds of his schemes, which he also laundered. Mr. Baker and Mr. Hansen both owned vendor companies that did business with Apple, and they both conspired with Mr. Prasad to execute similar, although separate, fraud schemes targeting Apple.

As a result, all three cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule 8-1(b)(2) because all three actions appear likely to entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different Judges, and might create conflicts and unnecessary expense if conducted before different Judges, especially with respect to the sentencings of Mr. Hansen, Mr. Baker, and Mr. Prasad (should he be convicted).

## 

1	In addition, <i>United States v. Dhirendra Prasad</i> , Case No. 5:22-cr-00123-BLF, is also related to a	
2	civil matter currently pending in this District – <i>United States v. The real property located at 577 S.</i>	
3	Tradition Street, Mountain House, CA; APN 254-160-340, et al., Case No. 5:21-cv-07461-NC. In that	
4	civil case, the government seeks to forfeit assets traced to Mr. Prasad's crimes, including several pieces	
5	of real property, and the funds contained in several financial accounts.	
6	Per the requirement of Local Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that assignment	
7	of these cases to a single judge is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient,	
8	consistent determination of each action.	
9		
10	DATED: March 18, 2020 Respectfully submitted,	
11	STEPHANIE M. HINDS United States Attorney	
12	s/ Michael G. Pitman	
13	MICHAEL G. PITMAN	
14	Assistant United States Attorney	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		